Up until the end of the 19th century, sections of metal were joined together by a heating and hammering process called forge welding. Today, a variety of different welding processes are available, such that welding is extensively used as a fabrication process for joining materials in a wide range of compositions, part shapes and sizes.

Many types of manufacturing industries make use of a wide variety of welding processes:

- Aircraft and aerospace industries e.g. wings and fuselages.
- Shipbuilding and marine industries e.g. panels for decks and superstructures.
- Land transportation / automotive industries.
- Oil and petrochemicals industries e.g. off shore production platforms and pipelines.
- Domestic e.g. white goods and metal furniture.

For process control, research and failure analysis, metallography is used to check many different aspects in a weld e.g. the number and size of passes, depth of penetration, extent of HAZ (Heat affected zone), and any defect such as pores and cracks on representative work pieces. As welds are produced in many different materials it is essential to select an appropriate metallographic preparation method.

**Difficulties during metallographic preparation**

The introduction of any thermal damage during the cutting process must be avoided as it can alter the microstructure and properties in the welded joint.

A thorough understanding of the preparation process is necessary to deal with the difficulties presented by the variations in the material properties in and across the welded joint, if flatness between microstructural features with different hardness values is to be achieved.

**Solution**

It is important to select the correct cut-off wheel with the appropriate cutting parameters such that thermal damage of the sample is avoided.

The preparation method should be selected according to the material types of a weld and optimized to minimise the risk of relief between hard and soft phases in the weld, heat affected zone and parent material.
Joining Processes involving heat

The processes which are available for the purpose of joining metals and alloys are:
- Soft soldering*
- Braze welding**
- Welding

Features which distinguish welding from soldering and brazing are:
- Soldering (Fig.1) and brazing (Fig.2) involve melting a material with lower melting point between the work pieces to form a bond between them, without melting the work pieces.
- The materials to be welded are raised above their melting point in the vicinity of the joint, in order for fusion to take place.
- As a consequence of the above, complex chemical and metallurgical changes occur in the materials in the proximity of the welded joint.
- These microstructural changes can have a profound influence upon the joint properties and suitability for service.

*Soft soldering: Soldering is the process in which two metals are joined together by means of a third metal or alloy having a relatively low melting point. Soft soldering is characterized by the value of the melting point of the third metal or alloy, which is below 450°C (840°F). The third metal or alloy used in the process is called solder.

**Braze Welding: Braze welding takes place at the melting temperature of the filler (e.g., 870 °C to 880 °C or 1600 °F to 1800 °F for bronze alloys) which is often considerably lower than the melting point of the base material (e.g., 1600 °C (2900 °F) for mild steel).

Generally, the weld is regarded as a junction between two or more pieces of metal in which their surfaces have to be raised to a plastic (e.g. friction welding Fig. 3) or liquid state by the application of heat with or without added metal and with or without the application of pressure.

Each of these processes has their own unique characteristics e.g. penetration, speed of welding, slag generation, heat input, properties of weld, etc. and this in turn can have a considerable influence on the resultant microstructural detail.

Consequently, any study on the effects of a particular welding process will require careful metallurgical examination of representative weld samples, irrespective of whether the objective is to examine the overall integrity of the weld or examine the microstructure/property relationship or to identify the nature and origin of defects. It follows then, that the accuracy of microstructural analysis and interpretation will depend on the production of prepared specimens, free from any artefacts which may have been introduced at any stage in the preparation process.
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Cross section through electron beam weld in nickel base alloy showing a welded columnar microstructure with few scattered gas pores. Bright field, 50x.

Taking Test Sections from Welds
Metallographic principles and practices can be applied to the examination of welded sections to satisfy a number of objectives; the more common of which are listed below:

- **Welder Approval Testing**
  In this type of test, an individual welder welds an appropriate test piece, under specified conditions. This test piece is then examined by measurement, visual inspection, and examination of a prepared section through the weld. If the weld reaches the agreed standard, that welder is approved to weld the same type as the test weld.

- **Procedure Approval Testing**
  In this type of test, it is a welding procedure in a particular material with a particular joint configuration which is being approved. The completed weld is examined by a variety of means, one of which includes a prepared section through the welded joint. A hardness traverse across parent material, heat affected zone and weld metal is normally carried out.

- **Production Quality Control**
  In this type of test a representative number of welds are sectioned and examined as part of a production process.

- **Failure Analysis**
- **Research and Development**

Two levels of metallographic inspection
The examination of metallographic sections through welded joints is commonly carried out at two levels of inspection:

**Macro**: Where magnifications up to 50x are employed with stereomicroscopes.

**Micro**: Where examination is at higher magnifications (up to 1000x) using optical microscopes.

Macro examination is commonly carried out on unmounted cross sections through welded joints and simply involves cutting and coarse/fine grinding techniques. The resultant finish is adequate for etching, followed by an examination of the macro features of the weld joint.

For micro examination techniques and hardness traverse, the provision of a polished, optically flat surface will be required. This involves cutting, mounting and grinding and polishing. One has to be aware from the outset, that artefacts can be introduced at any stage of the preparation process. This is particularly true of welded sections because not only do microstructural variations occur over relatively short distances but welds can also involve joints between dissimilar metals having widely different properties.
Recommendations for the preparation of weld microsections

CUTTING
For most welder approval tests it is suggested that macro sections are cut in the transverse direction through weld stop/start positions. It is at these locations where any lack of skill on the part of the welder will result in the formation of weld defects.

For weldability and other studies the section must be truly representative. Often, flame cutting is used as a primary cutting technique e.g. to remove a more manageable welded section from a larger fabrication. It is important in these cases that the macro/micro section is cut by an abrasive wet cutting process and is sectioned well away from the influence of any thermal damage from a primary thermal cutting operation.

In order that deformation from cutting is minimised and the risk of thermal damage on the cut surface is avoided, it is important that:

- The correct type of abrasive cut-off wheel is selected.
- An appropriate feed speed is used.
- There is an adequate level of coolant supplied during cutting.

MOUNTING
Normally, macro sections for procedural testing are prepared unmounted because of time constraints, and because a finely ground finish is usually adequate for macro examination. If semi-automatic preparation is an option, then there are a number of specimen holders which will accommodate unmounted cross sections from welded joints.

If mounting is required then there is the option of hot compression mounting or cold mounting. It is not uncommon, however, in weld examination to have relatively large cross sections. In this case, section sizes up to 120 x 60 x 45 mm can be accommodated in Struers FlexiForm, rectangular moulds for cold mounting.

MECHANICAL PREPARATION

Macro sections
Traditionally, welded sections for macro examination are prepared manually on successively finer grades of silicon carbide Foil/Paper to a 1200 grit finish.

This is usually sufficient for hardness traverse through parent material, heat affected zone, and weld metal, as well as being suitable for macro etching to facilitate weld macro examination. SiC Foil is limited in respect of its cutting life (1.0-1.5 mins) and this is exacerbated with increasing section size. As an alternative grinding/fine grinding media for manual preparation the Struers MD-Piano discs offer a number of advantages:

- A longer cutting life.
- A constant removal rate over a longer time period.
- Suitable for a wide range of materials hardness (HV150-2000).
- Less waste.

MD-Piano discs are resin bonded diamond discs which have been developed for coarse and fine grinding of materials in the hardness range HV150-2000 and they are available in comparable grain size to SiC Foil/Paper 80, 120, 220, 600, and 1200.

Micro sections
Weld specimens can involve wide variations in material hardness across the specimen either because of a phase changes during welding, or because the joint incorporates dissimilar metals. The weld metal may contain hard precipitates or some indigenous weld defect. As a consequence, it is important that the preparation method should ensure that polish relief between microstructural features is minimal and all microstructural elements are retained. In this respect, semi-automatic or automatic preparation equipment is preferred as it provides a consistency and reproducibility of polish which facilitates accurate microstructural analysis. Preparation methods for the wide range of welded materials which can be experienced cannot be covered in this document.

There are, however, four methods detailed in the following which cover the more commonly used welded materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon and low alloy steel welds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspension / Lubricant</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>DiaPro Largo 9</th>
<th>DiaPro Plus 3</th>
<th>DiaPro Nap 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)/specimen</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>4 min.</td>
<td>4 min.</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid for a total sample area of approximate 40 cm². Holder need to be balanced. As an alternative to DiaPro, DP-Suspension P, 9 µm, 3 µm and 1 µm can be used together with DP-Lubricant blue.

Polished and etched micro section through MAG (Metal Active Gas) welded carbon steel. Microstructure consists of acicular and primary ferrite. Etched with 2% Nital. Bright field, 200x

Ground and etched macro section through a MIG (Metal Inert Gas) weld in carbon steel, etched with 4% Nital. Bright field, 6.5x

Rectangular mounts of various welds.
### Stainless steel welds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>PG</th>
<th>FG</th>
<th>DP 1</th>
<th>DP 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>SIC #228</td>
<td>MD-Largo</td>
<td>MD-Dac</td>
<td>MD-Chem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasive Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension / Lubricant</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>DiaPro Allegro/Largo 9</td>
<td>DiaPro Dac 3</td>
<td>OP-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)/specimen</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>4 min.</td>
<td>2 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid for a total sample area of approximate 40 cm². Holder need to be balanced. MD-Largo can be replaced by MD-Plan. As an alternative to DiaPro, DP-Suspension P, 9 µm and 3 µm can be used together with DP-Lubricant blue. MD-Chem with OP-A can be replaced by MD-Nap with DiaPro NapB.

### Titanium welds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>PG</th>
<th>FG</th>
<th>DP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>SIC #228</td>
<td>MD-Largo</td>
<td>MD-Chem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasive Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension / Lubricant</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>DiaPro Allegro/Largo 9</td>
<td>OP-S*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)/specimen</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>5-10 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid for a total sample area of approximate 40 cm². Holder need to be balanced. As an alternative to DiaPro, DP-Suspension P, 9 µm, can be used together with DP-Lubricant blue.

*Note: OP-S with an addition of 10-30% by volume hydrogen peroxide (30%).

### Aluminium Welds (Friction stir welding)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>PG</th>
<th>FG</th>
<th>DP</th>
<th>OP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>SIC #228</td>
<td>MD-Largo</td>
<td>MD-Mol</td>
<td>MD-Chem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasive Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension / Lubricant</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>DiaPro Allegro/Largo 9</td>
<td>DiaPro Mol R 3</td>
<td>OP-S NonDry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)/specimen</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1 min.</td>
<td>5 min.</td>
<td>4 min.</td>
<td>1-2 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid for a total sample area of approximate 40 cm². Holder need to be balanced. As an alternative to DiaPro, DP-Suspension P, 9 µm and 3 µm can be used together with blue and red lubricant.
Etching

ELECTROLYTIC POLISHING/ETCHING

It is not uncommon, in shop floor production control applications, to find electrolytic polishing/etching being used as a method for obtaining prepared weld cross sections for macro examination. Here the sections are cut on an abrasive cut-off machine, then after a single grinding stage, the specimens are electrolytically polished and etched to provide a section suitable for macro examination. The advantages of this technique are:

- Its speed.
- Its ease of operation.
- Minimises user contact with acidic etchants.
- A more suitable option for a wide range of stainless steel types and other metals difficult to etch just chemically.

For applications where detailed microstructural analysis is required the specimens for electrolytic polishing and etching should be ground to 1000 grit (or more for softer metals).

| Material                | Etchant                                                                 | Comment                                           |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|                                                  |
| Carbon and low          | 100 ml ethanol (95%) or methanol (95%) 1-5 ml nitric acid (Nital)       | Good general purpose reagent; can be increased to 15 ml nitric acid for macro etching.       |
| alloy steels            | 100 ml distilled water 10 g ammonium persulphate                        | Good macro etching                                |
| Stainless steels        | 480 ml distilled water 120 ml hydrochloric acid (32%) 50 g iron (III) chloride, 100 ml distilled water 10 g oxalic acid 5 ml sulphuric acid (95-97%) | Macro etching                                    |
|                         |                                                                                      | Electrolytic etching 4-6 volts for a few secs.                      |
|                         |                                                                                      | Electrolytic etching 2-4 volts for a few secs                      |
| Nickel alloys           | 100 ml distilled water 5 ml sulphuric acid (95-97%)                        | Electrolytic etching 3-6 volts for a few secs.                       |
| Copper alloys           | 100 ml distilled water 10 ml ammonium hydroxide (25%) with a few drops of aqueous hydrogen peroxide (3%) | Use freshly made                                           |
| Aluminium alloys        | 100 ml distilled water 15 g sodium hydroxide                               | Macro etching                                              |

It is important to follow the recommended safety precautions when handling chemical reagents and when using chemical etchants.

METALLOGRAPHY

Macro sections

Etched macro sections allow the identification of the boundaries of the weld metal, heat affected zone, fusion boundary, grain growth and the individual runs in multi-run welds. In addition weld defects such as cracks, pores/voids, lack of fusion, and lack of penetration can be identified.

Micro sections

Some of the more common metallographic tests carried out on welded joints are detailed below:

Area fraction of a constituent - identification of individual phases and determination of area fraction by point counting, e.g. delta-ferrite in austenitic stainless steel welds.
Aluminium weld showing assortment of microstructures in weld, base metal and heat affected zone. Etchant: 100 ml distilled water + 2 ml hydrofluoric acid. Bright field, 100x.

Heat affected zone in duplex stainless steel weld. Etched electrolytically with 40% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. Bright field, 200x.

Sub surface gas porosity in auto-matically MAG (Metal Active Gas) welded steel components. Ground and etched with 10% Nital. Bright field, 2x.

Heat affected zone crack below fillet weld in low alloy steel. Bright field, 5x.

Pores in an austenitic stainless steel weld. Sift surface gas porosity in automatically MAG (Metal Active Gas) welded steel components. Ground and etched with 10% Nital. Bright field, 30x.

Microstructure type / morphology / identification of microstructural transformation products in weld metal and heat affected zone.

Defect analysis / identification and characterisation of indigenous weld defects.

Steel welds. Weld with hardness indentations (according to standard DIN EN 1043). Curves showing the hardness differences measured on Duramin-A300. Hardness survey - normally a hardness / grain size / grain refined regions in weld metal and heat affected zone. Grain size / grain size measurements of microstructures in weld, base metal and heat affected zone. Etchant: 100 ml distilled water + 2 ml hydrofluoric acid. Bright field, 100x.

Weld with hardness indentations. According to standard DIN EN 1043. Hardness survey - normally a hardness / grain size / grain refined regions in weld metal and heat affected zone. Grain size / grain size measurements of microstructures in weld, base metal and heat affected zone. Etchant: 100 ml distilled water + 2 ml hydrofluoric acid. Bright field, 100x.
The application of good metallographic practices as applied to welded joints has been outlined. An appropriate preparation method needs to be selected according to the physical properties of weld material(s). It is important that care is taken at all stages of the preparation process to obtain a correct analysis of the microstructure and properties in the weld region.
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